



Loxoscelism

David L. Swanson, MD^{a,*}, Richard S. Vetter, MS^b

^aDepartment of Dermatology, Mayo Clinic, Scottsdale, AZ 85259, USA

^bDepartment of Entomology, University of California Riverside, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

Abstract Loxoscelism (bites by spiders of the genus *Loxosceles*) is the only proven arachnological cause of dermonecrosis. Although *Loxosceles* spiders can be found worldwide, their distribution is heavily concentrated in the Western Hemisphere, particularly the tropical urban regions of South America. Although *Loxosceles* bites are usually mild, they may ulcerate or cause more severe, systemic reactions. These injuries mostly are due to sphingomyelinase D in the spider venom. There is no proven effective therapy for *Loxosceles* bites, although many therapies are reported in the literature.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Loxoscelism

A small percentage of the spider species in the world are medically important with the majority causing systemic injury. Spiders of the genus *Loxosceles* cause necrotic dermatologic injury through a unique enzyme, sphingomyelinase D, found only in one other spider genus and several bacteria.¹ The first inklings of association of spiders in general with dermonecrosis were made in the late 19th century in the Western Hemisphere.^{2,3} In the early 20th century, suspected association specifically to *Loxosceles* spiders was made by Schmaus⁴ in North America and by several authors in South America.³ Definitive verifications of *Loxosceles* spiders as the etiology were finally determined in 1947 in South America³ and 1957 in North America.⁵ Although all *Loxosceles* species tested so far have the dermonecrotic sphingomyelinase D enzyme, most *Loxosceles* spider species have yet to be shown to be medically important.

Loxosceles spiders are predominantly found in the temperate and tropical regions of the Americas, Africa, and Europe.⁶ There are approximately 100 species of *Loxosceles* spiders; 80% occurring in the Western Hemisphere. Many of these species are only known from a few specimens or have a highly restricted distribution, and, therefore, their significance is only of academic interest. Of the remaining species that have widespread distribution, several live in areas that are not heavily populated by humans or have had their range reduced from habitat destruction; hence, envenomations are a moot point. This therefore leaves just a few species that are common, widespread, find human domiciles acceptable for survival, and pose a realistic medical threat.

Distribution of *Loxosceles*

There are 11 native and two nonnative species of *Loxosceles* spiders in North America.^{6,7} The brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, (Fig. 1) is the best known and is responsible for most American envenomations. In subtropical North America, the brown recluse can be found from Texas to northern Georgia. The anecdotal opinion of

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 480 301 6174.

E-mail address: swanson.david@mayo.edu (D.L. Swanson).



Fig. 1 Brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*, from North America.

southeastern American arachnologists, which was corroborated by a recent distribution study,⁸ is that as one gets closer to the Gulf of Mexico, *Loxosceles* specimens become scarce. Despite abundant reports of loxoscelism in Florida, *Loxosceles* spiders are only rarely found in the state and are not a rational source of loxoscelism.⁹

There are 38 *Loxosceles* species in Central America, 30 endemic exclusively to Mexico.^{6,7} Eleven of these are only known by a few specimens from their original Mexican locality of discovery. Loxoscelism in Central America is not well represented in the medical literature.¹⁰ It is not known whether this is due to the lack of known envenomations or the lack of reliable reporting of loxoscelism.

Six *Loxosceles* species are endemic to the Caribbean islands of Cuba, Puerto Rico, the Dominican Republic, Haiti, Jamaica, the Bahamas, and both British and American Virgin Islands.⁷ In addition, the Euroafrican tramp species, *L. rufescens*, is established in Bermuda.⁷

There are 34 *Loxosceles* species in South America, all endemic to the continent with only one extending north into Panama; at least 16 species are only known from one to a few specimens from the original locality of discovery.^{6,11} There are three widespread species routinely reported to cause dermonecrosis: *Loxosceles laeta* (throughout much of



Fig. 2 *Loxosceles laeta* from South America.

South America) (Fig. 2), *L. intermedia* (Brazil, Argentina), and *L. gaucho* (Brazil),¹² *L. laeta* possibly being the most toxic.¹³ The greater medical significance of these species may be due to some South American species (ie, *L. laeta*) being larger and reportedly having more deleterious venom than their northern counterparts.¹¹

In the rest of the world, *Loxosceles* species are not as well represented. *Loxosceles rufescens* occurs around the entire Mediterranean region and is a tramp species found very sporadically around the world. Although it gets transported readily, established populations are severely circumscribed (ie, often found only in the one building that originally gets infested). There are 12 *Loxosceles* species in the remainder of Africa, with isolated populations scattered widely on the continent (eg, Ivory Coast, Ethiopia, Namibia, South Africa).⁶ China has two *Loxosceles* species. In Australia, there are very small populations of *L. rufescens* around Adelaide,¹⁴ but they pose little threat.

In general, *Loxosceles* spiders are nocturnal, preferring to hide during the day in crevices and other places of refuge. In natural environments, they are found under rocks or in burrows of other animals where they make a small retreat web of flocculent silk. In human habitats, they are often found behind furniture, in basements, in attics, in cupboards, and other places where they can squeeze into a small cavity. The folded flaps of cardboard boxes are favored places for retreat. In a Brazilian study, *L. intermedia* and *L. laeta* were absent from natural environments and found in urban settings, predominantly indoors, with a preference for substrates of paper, wood, and construction materials.¹⁵ In South America, *Loxosceles* spiders are known as *araña de detrás de los cuadros* (the spider behind the picture) or *araña de los rincones* (spider of the corners).¹⁶ In North



Fig. 3 Anterior view of *Loxosceles reclusa* showing the pattern of 6 eyes arranged in non-touching pairs in a U-shaped pattern situated in a dorsal brown violin pattern. The eye pattern is non-varying among *Loxosceles* species whereas the violin pattern can vary greatly among the species. Hence, the violin pattern should not be used to identify these spiders.

America, they are known as recluse spiders because of their tendency to hide in areas away from humans.

Identification of *Loxosceles* spiders

Many medical publications definitively state that *Loxosceles* spiders can easily be identified by a violin or fiddle pattern on the dorsal surface of the cephalothorax. Although this is true in principle, lack of expertise causes nonarachnologists (including physicians) to mistake many varied darkened forms on spider bodies as violin patterns.^{8,17} Although several *Loxosceles* species can indeed be readily identified by a violin, using this overly simplified diagnostic identifier will lead to mistakes because some *Loxosceles* species have almost no pigmentation in the violin area and other species have dark maculae on the dorsal body surfaces which will mask or complicate identification. A more useful diagnostic feature is that *Loxosceles* spiders have six eyes arranged in nontouching pairs in a U-shaped pattern (Fig. 3). Most spiders have eight eyes and can be excluded as this medically important group. One must be aware, however, that the eye pattern is not totally exclusive; there are additional closely related spiders (genera *Scytodes* and *Sicarius*) that share the same eye pattern. *Scytodes* spiders have benign bites¹⁸; *Sicarius* spiders can be medically important and are discussed below.

Other spiders purported to cause necrosis

Over several decades, many additional spiders from a diverse number of spider genera have been implicated in dermonecrosis including orbweavers, wolf spiders, funnel-weaving spiders, etc. Much of this has occurred by the simple implication of spiders by patients presenting with dermonecrosis, but while lacking the definitive proof of a spider caught in the act of biting and properly identified. Many of these events have been reported under the blanket term of *necrotic arachnidism*, and, unfortunately, most of the implicated spiders have been falsely elevated to a status of medical significance through circumstantial implication and repetitive citation in the medical literature. In the early 21st century, prospective studies with verified bites of spiders subsequently identified by arachnologists showed that the following spiders initially proffered as dermonecrotic agents were actually virtually harmless and did not induce necrosis upon biting: white tailed spider,¹⁹ wolf spider,^{20,21} *Badumna* spp,²² and *Cheiracanthium* (RS Vetter, in preparation). In addition, the hobo spider (North America) has been questioned as to the legitimacy of its dermonecrotic potential.²³

An explanation for the lack of ulceration occurring in bites from most of these spiders may be the demonstrated lack of sphingomyelinase activity, which may be necessary

for the formation of ulcers (see below).^{24,25} For example, spider bites from orb spinning spiders do not have sphingomyelinase D and are no longer felt to cause necrosis.²⁶ The only other spider genus known to contain significant levels of sphingomyelinase D in its venom is *Sicarius*, yet because of this spider's remote desert habitat in South Africa and behaviors that virtually exclude contact with humans, they are barely worth mentioning as a medical entity. Intriguingly, recent research has shown that *Sicarius* spiders in the New World have lost or greatly reduced their ability to produce sphingomyelinase D,²⁷ and, hence, their dermonecrotic potential is negligible even in verified bites. The list therefore of spiders previously implicated in *necrotic arachnidism* has been whittled away to the point where the term no longer has realistic meaning outside of loxoscelism.

Loxosceles toxin

Loxosceles bites can cause necrosis in humans, guinea pigs, and rabbits,²⁸ but not in mice and rats,²⁹ thereby showing differential mammalian toxicity due to unknown reason. Research has recently characterized the nature and composition of the toxins causing the necrosis. The toxins are the same in constituency in male and female *Loxosceles*, but the females have more concentrated venom—as much as two times the concentration of that of the male spider.³⁰ Fifteen micrograms of venom will produce the characteristic ulcerative lesion in rabbits.³¹ Spiders may vary the amount of venom injected depending on the prey type. It is possible therefore that spiders have the capability to give varied amounts of venom in defensive biting and, accordingly, vary the severity of the bite injury.³²

Venoms are a mixture of proteins with similar electrophoretic profiles in the region of low molecular mass proteins (20–40 kD).³³ The toxins in both *L intermedia* and *L laeta* seem to be equivalent. One 30- to 35-kD protein, a nonproteolytic sphingomyelinase D, is endowed with all biological properties ascribed to whole *L laeta* venom and sphingomyelinases from *L intermedia* including dermonecrotic and platelet aggregation and complement-dependent hemolytic activities.^{33,34} Antibodies to this protein block dermonecrotic reaction.³⁴ The protein has been cloned using a cDNA library constructed from the venom gland of *L intermedia*.³⁵ It is a 31-kD protein with a *pI* of 7.37. The amino acid sequence has been deduced as have the signal peptide, propeptide, and untranslated 3' region with 218 nucleotides in the cDNA. Monoclonal antibodies against the protein neutralized 90% to 97% of the dermonecrotic activity of venom, even when administered intravenously up to 6 hours after envenomation.³⁶

Investigators have also described broad enzymatic activities in tissue from *Loxosceles* venom. These activities include hyaluronidase,²⁴ alkaline phosphatase,²⁴ esterase,³⁷



Fig. 4 Early presumptive *L. reclusa* bite. The lesion is approximately 2 cm in diameter. Two small puncta are seen in the center.

ATPase,³⁸ sphingomyelinase D,³⁹ but not phosphodiesterase,⁴⁰ phospholipase A or C, or collagenase.³⁸

It is questionable whether or not *Loxosceles* venom has protease activity. Early reports suggested protease activity using a common means of extracting spider venom that involved electrostimulation, which also releases gastric contents.⁴¹ The reported venom proteases degraded fibrinogen, fibronectin, entactin, heparin sulfate,⁴² and basement membranes.⁴³ Using venom from microdissected venom apparatus, Rekow et al⁴⁴ were unable to demonstrate protease activity against hemoglobin or casein. It is possible that *Loxosceles* spider venom may have proteinase proenzyme activity. After treatment with trypsin, spider venom from extracted apparatus contains two serine proteases.⁴⁵ In addition, there are two metalloproteinases recently characterized.⁴² Venom therefore may have intrinsic venom metalloproteinase activity in addition to that occurring through victim metalloproteinase activation.⁴⁶ *Loxosceles intermedia* spider envenomation induces cleavage of an endogenous metalloproteinase, resulting in cleavage of glycoporphins from the erythrocyte surface and facilitating complement-mediated lysis. This activation is mediated by the alternative pathway.⁴⁷

Loxosceles spider gastric contents contain proteases capable of cleaving native collagen, gelatin, fibrinogen, fibronectin, fibrin, and elastin. These gastric contents are unable to induce necrosis in the rabbit model. Although not adequate to cause ulceration of the skin, it is possible that gastric contents act synergistically with sphingomyelinase activity from the venom.⁴⁸

An additional synergistic cofactor for tissue injury may be secondary infection. *Clostridium perfringens* can be isolated in the venom and fangs of *L. intermedia*. The presence of *Clostridium* toxin can strikingly enhance dermonecrosis in a rabbit model of loxoscelism.⁴⁹

Bites occur when the spider is pressed against flesh, typically while dressing or by a sleeping human rolling over

on the spider at night. In heavily infested areas therefore, clothing and shoes should be shaken before dressing, and worn items such as garden clothing or sports equipment in storage should be rigorously inspected or stored in spider-excluding containers. The risk of bites by *Loxosceles* spiders is, nonetheless, not as common as often purported as people can live with dozens to thousands of *Loxosceles* spiders without a medical incident.^{16,50}

Pathophysiology

In milder cases, *Loxosceles* bites may simply cause a very mild urticarial reaction.⁵¹ In the more severe cases, the initial bite is painless,¹³ but this is followed over 2 to 8 hours by sharp, penetrating pain that progressively changes into a burning sensation.^{3,13} There may be two small puncta at the bite site (Fig. 4).¹³ The bite area pales⁵² and the area immediately surrounding the bite becomes red and edematous, with mild to severe pain secondary to vasospasm and ischemia.¹³ A blister may form, and over the several days after the bite, there is typically a blue violet color, hard, stellate, sunken center.¹³ There is anesthesia of the center. Spread may occur gravitationally.³³ Sloughing follows (Fig. 5).¹³ Healing by second intent takes 6 to 8 weeks. Most bites are medically insignificant; however, extremely rare ulcers may be as large as 40 cm across and extend into deep muscles.⁵³ Thighs, abdomen, and buttocks give the worst lesions and scarring.¹³

Early in the course of loxoscelism, a generalized toxic petechial or morbilliform erythema can occur,¹³ suggesting the presence of a protective immunity.⁵³ It may be accompanied by malaise, nausea, headache, and myalgia. Purpura may also be seen. The rash is abated by systemic steroids, but steroids have no effect on ulcer.⁵³

Especially in children, there may be fever, malaise, weakness, nausea, vomiting, hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and disseminated intravascular coagulation¹³; this



Fig. 5 Presumptive *L. reclusa* bite. The lesion is displaying a central ulceration.

may occur in as many as 16% of patients.¹² Myonecrosis and rhabdomyolysis from loxoscelism may induce renal failure.⁵⁴ Coma and death may occur, typically in small children who sustained *L laeta* bites in South America.¹³ In North America, although fatal *Loxosceles* bites have been reported, there are still no definitely proven deaths; one loxoscelism expert feels that none of the reported fatal cases are convincing.⁵⁵

The dermatohistopathology of *Loxosceles* bites includes dermal edema, thickening of blood vessel endothelium, leukocyte infiltration, intravascular coagulation, vasodilatation, destruction of blood vessel walls, and hemorrhage.¹³ This mirrors the experimental histopathology seen with intradermal injections of venom in rabbits. Initially, experimental injection of venom results in vessel instability, cytoplasmic endothelial cell vacuolization, and blebs. There is loss of adhesion of the endothelial cells to their extracellular substrate as well as each other.⁵⁶ During the first 4 hours, there appear edema, hemorrhage, vessel wall degeneration, plasma exudation thrombosis, neutrophil accumulation in and around blood vessels with intensive diapedesis, dermal collection of polymorphonuclear (PMN) leukocytes, and subcutaneous and muscular edema. Over the next 5 days, there is massive neutrophilic infiltration into the dermis and subcutaneous muscle, with vessel destruction, thrombosis, hemorrhage, myonecrosis, and coagulative necrosis.⁵⁷

The necrosis of skin seems at least somewhat dependent on the presence of PMN leukocytes and complement. Smith and Micks⁵⁸ depleted circulating PMN leukocytes in rabbits using nitrogen mustard and found marked inhibition of necrosis induced by injected *L reclusa* venom. They also found a similar inhibition of necrosis in guinea pigs by depleting circulating complement, using either intravenous aggregated human gamma globulin or intraperitoneal zymosan. On the other hand, Tambourgi et al⁵⁹ found that C6-deficient rabbits and rabbits with cobra venom-induced complement depletion still showed histological evidence of collagen and muscle cell damage. They found active tissue metalloproteinases derived from PMN leukocytes and fibroblasts, and speculated that these, at least in part, may play a role in the induction of necrosis and hemorrhage.

Venom sphingomyelinase degrades the sphingomyelin component of the red blood cell membranes causing hemolysis.³⁹ The hemolysis as well as the accumulation of PMN leukocytes appears to be related to the activation of circulating complement.⁶⁰ Venom sphingomyelinase can induce hemolysis *in vitro* without complement. *L reclusa* sphingomyelinase D also stimulates serum amyloid,⁶¹ but not C-reactive protein,⁶² to activate platelets, leading to thrombocytopenia. The platelet activation is via an adenosine 5'-diphosphate release mechanism and is inhibited by indomethacin.¹³ Tumor necrosis factor is induced.¹² Spread of toxin to underlying tissues and the systemic loss of vessel and glomerular integrity may be due to the effect of extracellular matrix molecule degradation by serine and

metalloproteinases in the spider bite,⁶³ and also due to hyaluronidase activity.⁶⁴ Defective wound healing may be conceivably secondary to fibronectin and fibrinogen lysis, as these are involved in the mechanisms of hemostasis and tissue repair.³³

Diagnosis

The standard for diagnosis of spider bites is collecting and properly identifying the biting spider responsible for the cutaneous findings.

Other diseases are frequently misdiagnosed as loxoscelism.⁶⁵ These include staphylococcal or streptococcal infection, herpes simplex, herpes zoster, diabetic ulcer, fungal infection, pyoderma gangrenosum, lymphomatoid papulosis, chemical burn, *Toxicodendron* dermatitis, squamous cell carcinoma, neoplasia, localized vasculitis, syphilis, Stevens-Johnson syndrome, toxic epidermal necrolysis, erythema nodosum, erythema multiforme, gonococcemia, purpura fulminans, sporotrichosis, Lyme disease, cowpox, and anthrax.⁶⁶⁻⁷⁰

One emerging condition that is commonly misdiagnosed as loxoscelism in particular, and as generic spider bites in general, is methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. This misdiagnosis is made in both nosocomial and community-acquired settings.^{71,72} Greater awareness of the expression and epidemiology of this bacterial infection is reducing reliance on spiders as the etiology of dermonecrosis.

Because of the large number of diseases that mimic loxoscelism and the infrequency of documented bites even

Table 1 Suggested reporting standards for loxoscelism⁵³

| |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. Proved envenomation |
| The spider must be recovered immediately and in close proximity to a clinical reaction on skin. The spider must be identified by an experienced entomologist and kept for later verification. The details of the case must be complete and the patient must be followed up properly with all appropriate expert review of the diagnostic problem. |
| 2. Probable envenomation |
| Spiders must be found in the immediate vicinity and these must be confirmed by an entomologist to be <i>Loxosceles</i> . Typical proved loxoscelism must be medically well known in the region. The cutaneous lesion must be wholly typical of loxoscelism, as decided by clinically experienced experts. |
| 3. Possible envenomation |
| The bite appears typical to experienced physicians; however, no spiders can be recovered in the immediate vicinity. <i>Loxosceles</i> spiders must have been found in the region and other probable or proved bites must have occurred recently. |
| 4. Focal necrosis of the skin. |
| Region has no or few <i>Loxosceles</i> spiders, as verified by an entomologist, proven recent loxoscelism is uncommon, and no <i>Loxosceles</i> spiders can be recovered in the immediate vicinity of the patient. |

in endemic *Loxosceles* areas, any diagnosis of loxoscelism should be considered highly suspect unless a spider is caught in the act of biting and can be identified by an expert or, in cases without definitive spider involvement, only if the clinician has sufficient experience with verified *Loxosceles* bites. Multiple lesions contemporaneously or sequentially on one patient, or lesions presented by multiple patients living communally, should lead toward diagnosis of a contagious disease rather than accidental spider envenomations. Chronic lesions (>2 to 3 months' duration) will also exclude loxoscelism, and chronic cutaneous ulcer etiologies should be considered. In nonendemic *Loxosceles* areas, the absence of a recluse spider as evidence should exclude the diagnosis of loxoscelism. For the purposes of reporting, Anderson⁵³ has proposed a standard nomenclature (Table 1).

Laboratory diagnosis

The diagnosis of loxoscelism remains a clinical judgment dependent on proof of a *Loxosceles* spider bite. There is at least one potential venom test that has been developed and tested experimentally, using an ELISA.⁵² The authors detected venom from rabbit test subjects up to 7 days after injection. Venom was recoverable from plucked hair and skin aspirates, but the greatest yield was with biopsy specimens. There is currently no commercially available assay for humans.

Therapy

The proper treatment of loxoscelism remains controversial. Reported therapies include hyperbaric oxygen, dapsone,⁵² antihistamines including cyproheptadine,⁵² antibiotics, dextran, glucocorticosteroids,⁷³ vasodilators,⁷⁴ heparin, nitroglycerin, electric shock, curettage, surgical excision, and antivenin.⁵² It seems reasonable at minimum to provide routine first aid: elevation, immobilization, application of ice, local wound care, and tetanus prophylaxis.

Dapsone has been recommended for over two decades, based on the prominent role of PMN leukocytes in the pathophysiology of the injury. Dapsone inhibits the PMN myeloperoxidase-hydrogen peroxide-halide generation of oxygen intermediates, as well as inhibiting chemotaxis.⁷⁵ King and Rees⁷⁶ found that pretreatment with dapsone markedly reduced skin lesion size in guinea pigs injected intradermally with partially purified *L. reclusa* venom fraction. Dapsone reduced lesion size when administered to guinea pigs up to 16 hours after venom inoculation.⁷⁷ Notwithstanding, Phillips et al⁷⁸ found no benefit in rabbits when dapsone was administered after *Loxosceles deserta* venom inoculation compared to control. This has been more recently confirmed using *L. reclusa* venom.⁷⁹ Despite the common usage of dapsone, no prospective human study

supports dapsone as an effective treatment for *Loxosceles* envenomations in humans.⁸⁰

Dapsone causes hemolysis in all patients and may induce severe hemolysis with methemoglobinemia in patients deficient in glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase. Most patients have a 1- to 2-g drop in hemoglobin during therapy. Other side effects include headache, gastrointestinal upset, hepatitis, exfoliative dermatitis, rarely agranulocytosis, and motor neuropathy.⁸¹ The latter is usually seen in protracted therapy. Patients should have a baseline glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase assessed, and initial complete blood count and liver enzymes measured before beginning therapy. They should be monitored weekly while receiving therapy with dapsone for spider bites.

Systemic or intralesional glucocorticosteroids are also commonly given. These may have less benefit on ulcer formation than to ameliorate the general systemic effects, including the reactive erythema.⁵³ The systemic effects of *Loxosceles* toxin can be blocked in rabbits with systemic steroids when given 8 hours after toxin injection but not if delayed for 24 hours.⁸² Rabbits treated with 2 mg/kg methylprednisolone intramuscularly or intralesionally within 2 hours of inoculation, followed by daily dosing for 2 days, had shortening of their eschar duration, whereas rabbits treated at 4 hours or longer did not.⁸³ There was no difference in the size of the eschar or necrosis. Fardon et al,⁷⁴ however, found no benefit from intralesional glucocorticosteroids.

No benefit has been found in rabbits with oral metronidazole,⁸³ local or intravenous diphenhydramine, or phenolamine.⁷⁴

The rationale for cyproheptadine is to block serotonin-induced platelet aggregation and ischemia. Phillips et al⁷⁸ found no benefit from cyproheptadine for rabbit *L. deserta* venom injection compared to control when given after inoculation.

Several authors have advocated hyperbaric oxygen for the treatment of *Loxosceles* bites. Maynor et al⁸⁴ treated 14 patients with hyperbaric oxygen in an uncontrolled study and reported benefit. The rationale was that hyperbaric oxygen would inactivate the sulfhydryl-containing sphingomyelinase D in *Loxosceles* venom by oxidizing sulfhydryl bonds. Also, hyperbaric oxygen increases tissue oxygen tension and causes PMN sequestration in the lungs, removing them from circulation. Svendsen⁸⁵ treated six outpatients with undocumented spider bites in an uncontrolled study with oxygen given at 2 atm for 90 minutes twice daily for 1 to 3 days. All six had clinically deteriorating lesions at the time of therapy. Only three completed therapy and showed healing. Phillips et al⁷⁸ found no benefit from hyperbaric oxygen in their rabbit model compared to controls. Hobbs et al⁸⁶ found no differences between hyperbaric oxygen, hyperbaric oxygen plus dapsone, or dapsone alone in piglets when treated 24 to 72 hours after inoculation with *L. reclusa* venom.

The idea behind treating *Loxosceles* bites with electric shock arose after reported successes using electric stun guns

for field therapy of insect stings and poisonous snakebites.⁸⁷ Osborn⁸⁸ reported 147 cases of confirmed and suspected spider bites treated with high-voltage direct current. Sixteen patients had positive identification of *L. reclusa*. Treatment involved 40 to 50 kV·s⁻¹ delivered for 1 to 2 seconds per shock pulse. Two pulses were delivered through the center of the lesion, then four or more around the perimeter using a handheld stun gun. Therapy was given from 2 hours to 5 weeks after the bites. Improvement was reported by the patient or seen by the author in every case. Barrett et al,⁷⁷ however, reported no benefit in guinea pigs from shock using four 1-second shocks under anesthesia 10 seconds apart with Parali/azer and Guardian stun guns.

Auer and Hershey⁷³ advocated early excision and grafting of necrotic spider bites greater than 1 cm in diameter. They observed more rapid healing in a small cohort of patients than that observed in nonsurgical patients hospitalized with possible *Loxosceles* envenomations. Rees et al⁸⁹ compared early surgical excision, within 0.5 to 3 days from the time of the bite, to delayed surgery after 14 days of dapsone therapy. In 31 patients with the clinical, unverified diagnosis of spider bites, patients "needed" smaller excisions for their ulcerated skin after pretreatment with dapsone.

Therapeutic *L. laeta* rabbit antivenom is available in South America¹³ and is in common use.⁹⁰ Equine-derived antivenom is effective in mice and rabbits, but can be enhanced by refining with pepsin to obtain F(ab')₂ fragments.⁹¹ Other antivenoms have been used in animal studies. Barbaro et al. found that specific antivenoms against the five major medically important *Loxosceles* venoms in North and South America (*L. gaucho*, *L. laeta*, *L. intermedia*, *L. reclusa*, and *L. deserta*) completely neutralized their respective venoms after a 1-hour preincubation.⁹² Rees et al⁹³ compared dapsone, intralesional rabbit-derived *L. reclusa* antivenin alone, and dapsone plus antivenin in 17 documented patients with spider bites and found no difference in response. All patients were also treated with erythromycin. Lesions healed in an average of 20 days.

In high-risk areas, vaccination might hold promise. Araujo et al⁹⁴ cloned a protein homologous to *Loxosceles* dermonecrotic toxin from a cDNA expression library made with *L. intermedia* venom glands, expressed in *Escherichia coli* cells as a fusion protein with β -galactosidase (called Li-rec protein). This protein functioned as effective vaccine in mice.

Conclusions

Loxosceles spiders are the only important cause of skin necrosis from spider bites. *Loxosceles* spiders are common in much of the tropical and temperate world. Considering how prevalent they are, human bites from *Loxosceles* spiders are uncommon. When bites occur, they are usually mild, but they can occasionally cause ulceration and rarely systemic symptoms. Other more common diseases mimic

spider bites, and, therefore, unless the culprit *Loxosceles* spider is caught in the act, practitioners must be willing to challenge the diagnosis of *Loxosceles* bite. There is no evidence-based effective therapy for loxoscelism at the present time.

References

1. Binford GJ, Wells MA. The phylogenetic distribution of sphingomyelinase D activity in venoms of haplogyne spiders. *Comp Biochem Physiol B Biochem Mol Biol* 2003;135:25-33.
2. Caveness WA. Insect bite, complicated with fever. *Nashville J Med Surg* 1872;10:333-7.
3. Macchiavello A. Cutaneous arachnidism or gangrenous spot of Chile. *P R J Public Health Trop Med* 1947;22:425-66.
4. Schmaus LF. Case of arachnidism (spider bite). *JAMA* 1929;92:1265-6.
5. Atkins JA, Curtis WW, Sodeman WA. Probable cause of necrotic spider bite in the Midwest. *Science* 1957;126:73.
6. Platnick NI. The World Spider Catalogue, Version 6.0. 2005. <http://research.amnh.org/entomology/spiders/catalog/TNTRO1.html>.
7. Gertsch WJ, Ennik F. The spider genus *Loxosceles* in North America, Central America and the West Indies (Araneae Loxoscelidae). *Bull Am Mus Nat Hist* 1983;175:264-360.
8. Vetter RS. Arachnids submitted as suspected brown recluse spiders (Araneae: Sicariidae): *Loxosceles* spiders are virtually restricted to their known distributions but are perceived to exist throughout the United States. *J Med Entomol* 2005;42:512-21.
9. Vetter RS, Edwards GB, James LF. Reports of envenomation by brown recluse spiders (Araneae: Sicariidae) outnumber verifications of *Loxosceles* spiders in Florida. *J Med Entomol* 2004;41:593-7.
10. White J, Cardoso JL, Fan HW. Clinical toxicology of spider bites. Ch. 20. In: Meier J, White J, editors. *Handbook of clinical toxicology of animal venoms and poisons*. Boca Raton (Fla): CRC Press; 1995. p. 261-329.
11. Gertsch WJ. The spider genus *Loxosceles* in South America (Araneae Scytodidae). *Bull Am Mus Nat Hist* 1967;136:117-74.
12. Van Den Berg CW, De Andrade RM, Magnoli FC, Marchbank KJ, Tambourgi DV. *Loxosceles* spider venom induces metalloproteinase mediated cleavage of MCP/CD46 and MHCI and induces protection against C-mediated lysis. *Immunology* 2002;107:102-10.
13. Futrell JM. Loxoscelism. *Am J Med Sci* 1992;304:261-7.
14. Southcott RV. Spiders of the genus *Loxosceles* in Australia. *Med J Aust* 1976;1:406-8.
15. Fischer ML, Vasconcellos-Neto J. Microhabitats occupied by *Loxosceles intermedia* and *Loxosceles laeta* (Araneae:Sicariidae) in Curitiba, Parana, Brazil. *J Med Entomol* 2005;42:756-65.
16. Schenone H, Rojas A, Reyes H, Villarroel F, Suarez G. Prevalence of *Loxosceles laeta* in houses in central Chile. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 1970;19:564-7.
17. Vetter R. Identifying and misidentifying the brown recluse spider. <http://dermatology.cdlib.org/DOJvol5num2/special/recluse.html> [Last accessed 9/28/05].
18. Brescovit AD, Rheims CA. On the synanthropic species of the genus *Scytodes* Latreille (Araneae, Scytodidae) of Brazil, with synonymies and records of these species in other neotropical countries. *Bull Br Arachnol Soc* 2000;11:320-30.
19. Isbister GK, Gray MR. White-tail spider bite: a prospective study of 130 definite bites by *Lampona* species. *Med J Aust* 2003;179:199-202.
20. Ribeiro LA, Jorge MT, Piesco RV, Nishioka S de A. Wolf spider bites in Sao Paulo, Brazil: a clinical and epidemiological study of 515 cases. *Toxicol* 1990;28:715-7.

21. Isbister GK, Framenau VW. Australian wolf spider bites (Lycosidae): clinical effects and influence of species on bite circumstances. *J Toxicol Clin Toxicol* 2004;42:153-61.
22. Isbister GK, Gray MR. Black house spiders are unlikely culprits in necrotic arachnidism: a prospective study. *Intern Med J* 2004;34:287-9.
23. Vetter RS, Isbister GK. Do hobo spider bites cause dermonecrotic injuries? *Ann Emerg Med* 2004;44:605-7.
24. Young AR, Pincus SJ. Comparison of enzymatic activity from three species of necrotising arachnids in Australia: *Loxosceles rufescens*, *Badumna insignis* and *Lampona cylindrata*. *Toxicon* 2000;39:391-400.
25. Foradori MJ, Smith SC, Smith E, Wells RE. Survey for potentially necrotizing spider venoms, with special emphasis on *Cheiracanthium mildei*. *Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol* 2005;141:32-9.
26. Isbister GK. Letter to the editor. *Toxicon* 2001;39:1941-2.
27. Bodner MR, Callahan M, Binford GJ. Evolutionary origin and loss of sphingomyelinase D in the *Sicarius* and *Loxosceles* lineages. 29th Annual meeting of Amer. Arachnol. Soc. Akron OH, 29 Jun 2005.
28. Macchiavello A. Cutaneous arachnidism experimentally produced with the glandular poison of *Loxosceles laeta*. *P R J Public Health Trop Med* 1948;23:266.
29. da Silva PH, da Silveira RB, Appel MH, et al. Brown spiders and loxoscelism. *Toxicon* 2004;44:693-709.
30. de Oliveira KC, Goncalves de Andrade RM, Piazza RM, et al. Variations in *Loxosceles* spider venom composition and toxicity contribute to the severity of envenomation. *Toxicon* 2005;45:421-9.
31. Morgan PN. Preliminary studies on venom from brown recluse spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*. *Toxicon* 1969;6:161-5.
32. Wigger E, Kuhn-Nentwig L, Nentwig W. The venom optimisation hypothesis: a spider injects large venom quantities only into difficult prey types. *Toxicon* 2002;40:749-52.
33. da Silveira RB, dos Santos Filho JF, Mangili OC, et al. Identification of proteases in the extract of venom glands from brown spiders. *Toxicon* 2002;40:815-22.
34. Fernandes PMF, Junqueira de Azevedo IL, Goncalves de Andrade RM, et al. Molecular cloning and expression of a functional dermonecrotic and haemolytic factor from *Loxosceles laeta* venom. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2002;298:638-44.
35. Kalopothakis E, Araujo SC, de Castro CS, et al. Molecular cloning, expression and immunological properties of LiD1, a protein from the dermonecrotic family of *Loxosceles intermedia* spider venom. *Toxicon* 2002;40:1691-9.
36. Guilherme P, Fernandes I, Barbaro KC. Neutralization of dermonecrotic and lethal activities and differences among 32-35 kDa toxins of medically important *Loxosceles* spider venoms in Brazil revealed by monoclonal antibodies. *Toxicon* 2001;39:1333-42.
37. Norment BR, Jong YS, Heitz JR. Separation and characterization of venom components in *Loxosceles reclusa*-III. Hydrolytic enzyme activity. *Toxicon* 1979;17:539-48.
38. Schenone H, Suarez G. Venoms of Scytodidae. Genus *Loxosceles*. In: Bettini S, editor. *Handbook of experimental pharmacology Arthropod venoms*, vol. 48. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1978. p. 247-75.
39. Forrester LJ, Barrett JT, Campbell BJ. Red blood cell lysis induced by the venom of the brown recluse spider: The role of sphingomyelinase D. *Arch Biochem Biophys* 1978;187:355-65.
40. Russell FE. Phosphodiesterase of some snake and arthropod venoms. *Toxicon* 1966;4:153-4.
41. Jong YS, Norment BR, Heitz JR. Separation and characterization of venom components in *Loxosceles reclusa*-II. Protease enzyme activity. *Toxicon* 1979;17:529-37.
42. Feitosa L, Gremski W, Veiga SS, et al. Detection and characterization of metalloproteinases with gelatinolytic, fibronectinolytic and fibrinolytic activities in brown spider (*Loxosceles intermedia*) venom. *Toxicon* 1998;36:1039-51.
43. Veiga SS, Feitosa L, dos Santos VL, et al. Effect of brown spider venom on basement membrane structures. *Histochem J* 2000;32:397-408.
44. Rekow MA, Civello DJ, Geren CR. Enzymatic and hemolytic properties of brown recluse spider (*Loxosceles reclusa*) toxin and extracts of venom apparatus, cephalothorax and abdomen. *Toxicon* 1983;29:1225-33.
45. Veiga SS, da Silveira RB, Dreyfus JL, et al. Identification of high molecular weight serine-proteases in *Loxosceles intermedia* (brown spider) venom. *Toxicon* 2000;38:825-39.
46. Tambourgi DV, Morgan BP, de Andrade RM, Magnoli FC, van Den Berg CW. *Loxosceles intermedia* spider envenomation induces activation of an endogenous metalloproteinase, resulting in cleavage of glycoporphins from the erythrocyte surface and facilitating complement-mediated lysis. *Blood* 2000;95:683-91.
47. Tambourgi DV, Magnoli FC, Von Eickstedt VR, et al. Incorporation of a 35-kilodalton purified protein from *Loxosceles intermedia* spider venom transforms human erythrocytes into activators of autologous complement alternative pathway. *J Immunol* 1995;155:4459-66.
48. Foradori MJ, Keil LM, Wells RE, Diem M, Tillinghast EK. An examination of the potential role of spider digestive proteases as a causative factor in spider bite necrosis. *Comp Biochem Physiol C* 2001;130:209-18.
49. Monteiro CL, Rubel R, Cogo LL, et al. Isolation and identification of *Clostridium perfringens* in the venom and fangs of *Loxosceles intermedia* (brown spider): enhancement of the dermonecrotic lesion in loxoscelism. *Toxicon* 2002;40:409-18.
50. Vetter RS, Barger DK. An infestation of 2055 brown recluse spiders (Araneae: Sicariidae) and no envenomations in a Kansas home: implications for bite diagnoses in nonendemic areas. *J Med Entomol* 2002;39:948-51.
51. Berger RS. The unremarkable brown recluse spider bite. *JAMA* 1973;225:1109-10.
52. Gomez HF, Krywko DM, Stoecker WV. A new assay for the detection of *Loxosceles* species (brown recluse) spider venom. *Ann Emerg Med* 2002;39:469-74.
53. Anderson PC. Loxoscelism threatening pregnancy: five cases. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1991;165:1454-6.
54. Franca FO, Barbaro KC, Abdulkader RC. Rhabdomyolysis in presumed viscerocutaneous loxoscelism: report of two cases. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 2002;96:287-90.
55. Anderson PC. Missouri brown recluse spider: a review and update. *Mo Med* 1998;95:318-22.
56. Veiga SS, Zanetti VC, Franco CR, et al. *In vivo* and *in vitro* cytotoxicity of brown spider venom for blood vessel endothelial cells. *Thromb Res* 2001;102:229-37.
57. Ospedal KZ, Appel MH, Fillus Neto J, et al. Histopathological findings in rabbits after experimental acute exposure to the *Loxosceles intermedia* (brown spider) venom. *Int J Exp Pathol* 2002;83:287-94.
58. Smith CW, Micks DW. The role of polymorphonuclear leukocytes in the lesion caused by the venom of the brown spider, *Loxosceles reclusa*. *Lab Invest* 1970;22:90-3.
59. Tambourgi DV, Paixao-Cavalcante D, Goncalves de Andrade RM, et al. *Loxosceles* sphingomyelinase induces complement-dependent dermonecrosis, neutrophil infiltration, and endogenous gelatinase expression. *J Invest Dermatol* 2005;124:725-31.
60. Ward PA, Cochrane CG. Bound complement and immunologic injury of blood vessels. *J Exp Med* 1965;121:215-34.
61. Gates CA, Rees RS. Serum amyloid P component: its role in platelet activation stimulated by sphingomyelinase D purified from the venom of the brown recluse spider (*Loxosceles reclusa*). *Toxicon* 1990;28:1303-15.
62. Rees RS. Platelet activation stimulated by the toxin of the brown recluse spider requires serum amyloid P component, not C-reactive protein. *Toxicon* 1989;27:953-4.
63. Veiga SS, Zanetti VC, Braz A, Mangili OC, Gremski W. Extracellular matrix molecules as targets for brown spider venom toxins. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2001;34:843-50.

64. Sutherland SK. Venoms of Dipluridae. In: Bettini S, editor. Handbook of experimental pharmacology Arthropod venoms, vol. 48. New York: Springer-Verlag; 1978. p. 121-48.
65. Swanson DL, Vetter RS. Bites of brown recluse spiders and suspected necrotic arachnidism. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352:700-7.
66. Vetter RS, Cushing PE, Crawford RL, Royce LA. Diagnoses of brown recluse spider bites (loxoscelism) greatly outnumber actual verifications of the spider in four western American states. *Toxicon* 2003; 42:413-8.
67. Russell FE, Gertsch WJ. Letter to the editor. *Toxicon* 1983;21:337-9.
68. Moaven LD, Alten SA, Newnham AR. Sporotrichosis mimicking necrotising arachnidism. *Med J Aust* 1999;171:685-6.
69. Osterhoudt KC, Zaoutis T, Zorc JJ. Lyme disease masquerading as brown recluse spider bite. *Ann Emerg Med* 2002;39:558-61.
70. Roche KJ, Chang MW, Lazarus H. Cutaneous anthrax infection. *N Engl J Med* 2001;345:1611.
71. Dominguez TJ. It's not a spider bite, it's community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *J Am Board Fam Pract* 2004;17:220-6.
72. Beilman GJ, Sandifer G, Skarda D, et al. Emerging infections with community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in outpatients at an Army Community Hospital. *Surg Infect (Larchmt)* 2005;6:87-92.
73. Auer AI, Hershey FB. Surgery for necrotic bites of the brown spider. *Arch Surg* 1974;108:612-8.
74. Fardon DW, Wingo CW, Robinson DW, Masters FW. The treatment of brown spider bite. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1967;40:482-8.
75. Paniker U, Levine N. Dapsone and sulfapyridine. *Dermatol Clin* 2001;19:79-86.
76. King LE, Rees RS. Dapsone treatment of a brown recluse bite. *JAMA* 1983;250:648.
77. Barrett SM, Romine-Jenkins M, Fisher DE. Dapsone or electric shock therapy of brown recluse spider envenomation? *Ann Emerg Med* 1994;24:21-5.
78. Phillips S, Kohn M, Baker D, et al. Therapy of brown spider envenomation: a controlled trial of hyperbaric oxygen, dapsone, and cyproheptadine. *Ann Emerg Med* 1995;25:363-8.
79. Elston DM, Miller SD, Young III RJ, et al. Comparison of colchicine, dapsone, triamcinolone, and diphenhydramine therapy for the treatment of brown recluse spider envenomation: a double-blind, controlled study in a rabbit model. *Arch Dermatol* 2005;141:595-7.
80. Bryant SM, Pittman LM. Dapsone use in *Loxosceles reclusa* envenomation: is there an indication? *Am J Emerg Med* 2003;21:89-90.
81. Gleid R, Rico J. Treatment of autoimmune blistering diseases. *Dermatol Clin* 1999;17:431-40.
82. Dillaha CJ, Jansen GT, Honeycutt WM, Hayden CR. The gangrenous bite of the brown spider in Arkansas. *J Ark Med Soc* 1963;60:91.
83. Jansen GT, Morgan PN, McQueen JN, Bennett WE. The brown recluse spider bite: controlled evaluation of treatment using the white rabbit as an animal model. *South Med J* 1971;62:1194-202.
84. Maynor ML, Moon RE, Klitzman B, Fracica PJ, Canada A. Brown recluse spider bites: beneficial effects of hyperbaric oxygen. *J Hyperb Med* 1992;7:89-102.
85. Svendsen FJ. Treatment of clinically diagnosed brown recluse spider bites with hyperbaric oxygen: a clinical observation. *J Ark Med Soc* 1986;83:199-204.
86. Hobbs GD, Anderson AR, Greene TJ, Yealy DM. Comparison of hyperbaric oxygen and dapsone therapy for *Loxosceles* envenomation. *Acad Emerg Med* 1996;3:758-61.
87. Guderian RH, Mackenzie CD, Williams JF. High voltage shock treatment for snake bite. *Lancet* 1986;2:229.
88. Osborn CD. Treatment of spider bites by high voltage direct current. *J Okla State Med Assoc* 1991;84:257-60.
89. Rees RS, Altenbern DP, Lynch JB, King Jr LE. Brown recluse spider bites. A comparison of early surgical excision versus dapsone and delayed surgical excision. *Ann Surg* 1985;202:659-63.
90. Malaque CM, Castro-Valencia JE, Cardoso JL, et al. Clinical and epidemiological features of definitive and presumed loxoscelism in Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 2002;44:139-43.
91. de Roodt AR, Litwin S, Dokmetjian JC, Vidal JC. A reduced immunization scheme to obtain an experimental anti-*Loxosceles laeta* ("violinist spider") venom. *J Nat Toxins* 2002;11:193-203.
92. Barbaro KC, Knysak I, Martins R, Hogan C, Winkel K. Enzymatic characterization, antigenic cross-reactivity and neutralization of dermonecrotic activity of five *Loxosceles* spider venoms of medical importance in the Americas. *Toxicon* 2005;45:489-99.
93. Rees R, Campbell D, Rieger E, King LE. The diagnosis and treatment of brown recluse spider bites. *Ann Emerg Med* 1987;16:945-9.
94. Araujo SC, Castanheira P, Alvarenga LM, et al. Protection against dermonecrotic and lethal activities of *Loxosceles intermedia* spider venom by immunization with a fused recombinant protein. *Toxicon* 2003;41:261-7.